arXiv:1411.7490v4 [math.AT] 23 May 2016

A few examples of $p-$good and $p-$bad classifying spaces

Nora Seeliger

Abstract

We give examples of spaces which are good and bad at different primes in the sense of Bousfield and Kan [3] in any arbitrary combination and investigate which impact the existence of a Sylow p-subgroup has on the homotopy type on the classifying space and under which conditions the homotopy type of wedges of classifying spaces is good or bad for a solid ring R. We give results relating to various other R-homological structures and a collection of examples.

1 Introduction

In general, it is a very hard question to decide whether a space is good or bad in the sense of Bousfield and Kan [*Homotopy Limits, Completions and Localizations*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1972] and there are not many explicit examples in the literature. In this note we give results about $R-$completed classifying spaces for various solid rings R. In particular, we give examples of spaces which are good and bad at different primes in any arbitrary combination. The special case answers a question raised during the talk of Robert Oliver on $p-$local homotopy theory at the MSRI Berkeley at the beginning of the algebraic topology program in 2014 concerning the possible compatibilty of completions at two different primes at the same time. We continue to illustrate the impact of the existence of a Sylow p-subgroup on the homotopy type of the classifying space of a group. The universal property of $R-$badness allows to prove certain spaces not to be retracts of others. This will be shown to work in the case of certain projective spaces. We give an argument that illustrates the limitation of the mod-R fibre Lemma to show that a space is bad for subrings of the rationals and some results relating the $R-$completion and R-localisation of a space to various other R-homological structures including the structure of its $\mathbb{F}_p-$ homology structure as an unstable coalgebra over the dual Steenrod algebra and a collection of examples. The author was supported by ARC Discovery Project DP120101399 at the Australian National University and the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley.

2 Preliminaries

The p-completion in the sense of Bousfield-Kan [3] is a special case of the $R-$completion functor of Bousfield and Kan which is defined for solid rings R. This is a functor from the category of simplicial sets to itself. The category of simplicial sets will be denoted by spaces thoughout this article. A ring R is **solid** if the map $R\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R \to R$ is an isomorphism. Solid rings are $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, subrings of the rationals $\mathbb{Z}[J^{-1}]$ for any set J of primes, the product rings $\mathbb{Z}[J^{-1}]\times\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ where each prime factor of n is in J, and direct limits of these three types of rings [4]. The Bousfield-Kan completion is related to completions and localizations in the sense of Malcev [9], Sullivan [13], and Quillen [12]. In $p-$local homotopy theory the classifying space $|\mathcal{L}|^\wedge_p$ of a $p-$local finite group $(S,\mathcal{F},\mathcal{L})$ is one of the main objects of study where $(-)^\wedge_p$ denotes the $p-$completion functor with respect to the ring $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. A space X is called $p-$**complete** if the map $X \to X^\wedge_p$ is a mod $p-$equivalence and $p-$**good** if the natural map $H_*(X;\mathbb{F}_p) \to H_*(X^\wedge_p;\mathbb{F}_p)$ is an isomorphism. Otherwise the space is called p-**bad**. The properties good and bad are defined analogously for all solid rings. Examples of spaces which

are good for all primes and the integers are classifying spaces of finite groups. Spaces with finite homotopy groups are good for all solid rings R. For spaces with finite homotopy groups or finite homology groups the $\mathbb{Z}-$completion is up to homotopy, the product of the $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}-$completions. The space $\mathbb{R}P^2$ is good for all primes and bad for $\mathbb{Z}_{(J)}$ as long as $2 \in J$, and bad for the integers [3]. Recall that the space $S^1 \vee S^1$ is bad for all primes and the integers [2]. A group π acts on a group G if there is given a homomorphism $\alpha : \pi \to Aut(G)$ and such an action is called **nilpotent** if there exists a finite sequence of subgroups of G: $G = G_1 \supset \cdots \supset G_j \supset \cdots \supset G_n = 1$ such that for each j we have G_j is closed under the action of π, G_{j+1} is normal in G_j, and G_j/G_{j+1} is abelian, and the induced action on G_j/G_{j+1} is trivial. A (possibly infinite) group G has a **Sylow** $p-$subgroup S if it has a subgroup isomorphic to S and all other subgroups of G whose order is a power of p are subconjugate to S. Examples of infinite groups with finite Sylow $p-$subgroups are group models for fusion systems in the sense of Robinson and Leary-Stancu and ourselves together with Leip and ourselves together with Libman. Subgroups of amalgamated products are described by Kurosh's subgroup Theorem. The most general version states that [11] if H is a subgroup of the free amalgamated product of groups $\underset{i\in I}{*} G_i = G$, then $H = F(X) * (*_{j\in J} g_j H_j g_j^{-1})$, where X is a subset of G and J is some index set and $g_j \in G$ and each H_j is a subgroup of some G_i. Recall that for any pair of groups G, H we have a weak equivalence of classifying spaces $B(G*H) \simeq BG \vee BH$, [11]. The mod $R-$Fibre Lemma [3, Lemma 5.1] states that the R-completion preserves fibrations up to homotopy of connected spaces $F \to E \to B$ for which the fundamental group of the base space $\pi_1(B)$ acts nilpotently on every reduced homology group of the fibre with coefficients i n the ring of the completion $\overline{H}_i(F; R)$. It is used to show that spaces are good or bad without constructing the completion tower. A group G is **virtually finite** if it has a free subgroup $H < G$ of finite index. A group G is **a virtual finite p-group** if it has a free subgroup of index a finite $p-$group. A space is **nilpotent** if the fundamental group acts nilpotently on all higher homotopy groups [3]. A group G is $R-$nilpotent if it has a finite central series $G = G_1 \subset \cdots G_j \cdots G_n = 1$ such that each quotient G_j/G_{j+1} admit an R-module structure.A map $f : \{G_s\} \to \{H_s\}$ between two towers of groups is a **pro-isomorphism** if, for every group B, it induces an isomorphism

$$\underset{\leftarrow}{lim}\ Hom_{(groups)}(H_s, B) \cong \underset{\leftarrow}{lim}\ Hom_{(groups)}(G_s, B). \tag{1}$$

The completion tower $R_nX$defined by Bousfield and Kan preserves the homology with R-coefficients [7]. This property of preserving R-homology characterizes the tower completely [3]. The general question whether a space can be R-good and R'-bad for arbitrary solid rings $R \neq R'$ remains open.

3 On nilpotent group actions and the mod $R-$Fibre Lemma

We start by giving some results concerning nilpotent group actions and the mod $R-$Fibre Lemma.

Remark 3.1 *For a prime p a finite $p-$group always acts nilpotently on a finite $p-$group [8, p.47].*

We illustrate that this result does not generalize to free amalgamated products of finite $p-$groups.

Lemma 3.2 *The group $C_p * C_p$ does not act nilpotently on the finite $p-$group $C_p \times C_p$ if each factor of the direct product is embedded as upper and lower triangular matrices respectivley in $SL(2, p)$.*

Proof: Assume the contrary. There are $(p + 2)$ possible filtrations: $C_p \times C_p \supset 1$, and $(p + 1)$ possible filtrations $C_p \times C_p \supset C_p \times 1 \supset 1, \cdots, C_p \times C_p \supset 1 \times C_p \supset 1$ corresponding to the $(p + 1)$ embeddings of C_p into $C_p \times C_p$. The group $SL(2, p)$ acts nontrivially on $C_p \times C_p$ and the various embeddings of C_p. So in every possible filtration there is a quotient with a nontrivial action. This is a contradiction.$\square$

Remark 3.3 *This generalizes to all amalgamated products of finite groups generated by elements of order p which act nonnilpotently on a finite $p-$group.*

Remark 3.4 *It also generalizes to amalgamted products of arbitrary groups of which each factor of the amalgamated product surjects homomorphically onto a finite group which acts nonnilpotently on a finite $p-$group.*

Corollary 3.5 *Let G be a symmetric group which acts nonnilpotently on a finite 2-group H. Then there is a free amalgamated product of copies of C_2 which acts nonnilpotently on H.*

Proof: The symmetric group G is generated by elements of order 2. $\square$

The following proposition illustrates that we can apply the mod$-R$ fibre lemma [3, Lemma 5.1] in $\mathbb{F}_p-$homology to any fibration where the fundamental group of the base space is a finite $p-$group.

Proposition 3.6 *A finite $p-$group P acts nilpotently on an $\mathbb{F}_p[P]-$module of arbitrary cardinality.*

Proof: Let $I < \mathbb{F}_p[P]$ be the augmentation ideal and denote the module by M. If $n > 0$ is such that $I^n \neq 0$, then $I^{n+1} < I^n$. This implies that there is some k such that $I^k = 0$. Since P acts trivially on each quotient $I^n M/I^{n+1}M$ of the sequence of submodules $M > IM > I^2M > .... > I^kM = 0$, the module M is nilpotent.$\square$

The following Lemma shows that abelian groups do not have to act nilpotently on abelian groups.

Lemma 3.7 *The nontrivial action of C_2 on C_3 which sends 1 to -1 and -1 to 1 is not nilpotent.*

Proof: There is a single filtration $C_3 \supset 1$ and the action on the quotient $C_3/1 \cong C_3$ is nontrivial.$\square$

Remark 3.8 *This implies that finite $q-$groups do not have to act nilpotently on finite $p-$groups if $p \neq q$. The fact that the trivial action of C_2 on C_3 is nilpotent illustrates that this is not an iff.*

Proposition 3.9 *Let p be a prime. Then $B(C_p * C_p) \simeq BC_p \vee BC_p$ is p-good if and only if $p = 2$.*

Proof: There is a canonical map $C_p * C_p \to C_p \times C_p$ for all primes p. It follows from Kurosh's subgroup theorem that the kernel is a free group Γ and an Euler characteristic computation gives that Γ has rank $(p-1)^2$. So for p odd this rank is strictly bigger than one. A finite p-group always acts nilpotently on a finite $p-$group. Therefore $C_p \times C_p$ acts nilpotently on the $\mathbb{F}_p$-homology of Γ and therefore we can p-complete the fibration $B\Gamma \to BC_p \vee BC_p \to BC_p \times BC_p$. Therefore $BC_p \vee BC_p$ is p-bad since if it was p-good the space $B\Gamma$ would be p-complete which means it would be p-good which is a contradiction. For $p = 2$ we have that $C_2 * C_2$ is isomorphic to the infinite dihedral group D_∞. It follows from the mod-R fibre Lemma [3, Lemma 5.1] for the fibration $B\Gamma \to BD_\infty \to C_2 \times C_2$ that BD_∞ is 2-good because the rank of Γ is 1 and the space $B\Gamma \simeq S^1$ is complete and good for all primes, so in particular good for the prime 2. Therefore $B(C_2 * C_2) \simeq BC_2 \vee BC_2$ is 2-good. $\square$

Remark 3.10 *Alternatively the statement of the preceeding proposition can be proved as follows.*

Proposition 3.11 *Let G be a virtually finite group and denote $G_p^\wedge$ the group completion of G, by which we mean the inverse limit of the respective quotients of the group over its p-lower central series as defined by Bousfield, see [2]. Then we have a weak equivalence of spaces $(BG)_p^\wedge \simeq B(G_p^\wedge)$.*

Proof: This follows from the construction of the completion functor [3, Chapter 4]. □

Proposition 3.12 *Let p be a prime. Then $B(C_p * C_p) \simeq BC_p \vee BC_p$ is p-good if and only if $p = 2$.*

Proof: We can inspect the lower p-central series of the group $C_p * C_p$ for all primes p. Look at the map $C_p * C_p \to C_p \times C_p$. The kernel is a free group of rank $(p-1)^2$. The action of the quotient on the cohomology of the kernel is nilpotent, so when we complete, the kernel becomes a free p-nilpotent group on $(p-1)^2$ generators K. This group has huge cohomology for $p > 2$, and loads of it survives in the spectral sequence for the group extension $K \to (C_p * C_p)^\wedge_p \to (C_p \times C_p)^\wedge_p$. □

Remark 3.13 *In this case the completions of Bousfield Kan [3], Malcev [9], Quillen [12], Sullivan [13] coincide.*

More generally we have

Proposition 3.14 *Let G be a virtually finite p-group. Then the classifying space BG is p-good iff and only if the index of the free subgroup is trivial or one.*

Proof: This follows analogously to the argument in the previous proof. □

Proposition 3.15 *Let p be a prime and $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$ a family of finite groups with $(|G_i|, p) = 1$ for all $i \in I$. Let G be an arbitrary combination of direct and amalgamated product of the G_i. The classifying space of the group G is p-good.*

Proof: The group G has a finite index normal subgroup generated by elements of $q'-$order and therefore [1, Proposition1.11] the classifying space is $q-$good. The proof of the result for the classifying space of an arbitrary combination of direct and amalgamated products of the groups G_{p_i} is totally analogous. □

The following lemma allows to construct bad spaces for arbitrary solid rings R and will be used at a later point.

Lemma 3.16 *Let A and B be spaces such that A is R-bad for a solid ring R and A is a retract of B. Then the space B is R-bad.*

Proof: This follows directly from the definition of R-badness and it being a universal property.□

Remark 3.17 *In particular this applies to the situation of spaces $B = A \vee X$ and $B = A \times X$ for an arbitrary space X since the space A is a retract of both $A \vee B$ and $A \times B$.*

Remark 3.18 *This allows to prove that $\mathbb{R}P^2$ is not a retract of $\mathbb{R}P^\infty$ because $\mathbb{R}P^2$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-bad and $\mathbb{R}P^\infty = BC_2$ is $\mathbb{Z}-$good.*

The mod $R-$Fibre lemma and our result on nilpotent group actions Proposition 3 allow to prove.

Theorem 3.19 *Let X be a space which fibers over a space with fundamental group a finite p-group. Then X is $p-$good iff the fibre F is $p-$good.*

Proof: The fundamental group of the base acts nilpotently on the reduced homology of the fibre and therefore we can p-complete the fibration. So the fibre is p-good if and only if the total space is p-good.$\square$

Remark 3.20 *The spaces $\mathbb{R}P^k$ are 2-good for all $k \geq 0$. This includes the possibility that $k = \infty$.*

Proof: We have a fibration up to homotopy $S^k \to \mathbb{R}P^k \to K(C_2, 1)$. The action of the fundamental group on the $\mathbb{F}_2$-homology of the fibre is nilpotent. Therefore we can 2-complete the fibration. Since the spaces S^k and $K(C_2, 1)$ are both $2-$good the space $\mathbb{R}P^k$ is 2-good. Recall that $\mathbb{R}P^\infty \simeq BC_2$.$\square$

Proposition 3.21 *Let $\{P_i\}_{i\in I}$ be an arbitrary collection of $p-$groups. The classifying space $B(\underset{i\in I}{*} P_i)$ of the free amalgamated product is $p-$bad as long as the set I has at least two elements for p odd and at least three elements for $p = 2$ or not both groups are isomorphic to the cyclic group C_2.*

Proof: This follows analogously to the proof of Proposition 3.9 and together with Lemma 3.16. $\square$

Proposition 3.22 *Let G_1, G_2 be groups, not both isomophic to C_2, and p a prime. In the case that the classifying spaces BG_1, BG_2 are both $p-$good assume that the natural action of the product $G_1 \times G_2$ is nilpotent on the $\mathbb{F}_p-$homology of the fibre of the fibration $B(G_1 * G_2) \to B(G_1 \times G_2)$. Then the space $BG_1 \vee BG_2$ is $p-$bad.*

Proof: In the case where Lemma 3.16 does not apply this follows directly from the mod $R-$fibre Lemma [3, Lemma 5.1] and the associated homology spectral sequence. Note that after Kurosh's subgroup Theorem the fibre has the homotopy type of a finite wedge of $1-$spheres and the reduced $\mathbb{F}_p-$homology of the fibre is isomorphic as group to a finite number of copies of a cyclic group.$\square$

Proposition 3.23 *Let G_1, G_2 be groups, not both isomophic to C_2, and p a prime. In the case that the classifying spaces BG_1, BG_2 are both $p-$good assume that the natural action of the product $G_1 \times G_2$ is nilpotent on the $\mathbb{F}_p-$homology of the fibre of the fibration $B(G_1 * G_2) \to B(G_1/O^p(G_1) \times O^p(G_2))$ and that $G_1/O^p(G_1)$ and $G_1/O^p(G_1)$ are both nontrivial, where $O^p(G)$ denotes the normal subgroup of G generated by elements whose order does not get divided by the prime p. Then the space $BG_1 \vee BG_2$ is $p-$bad.*

Proof: In the case where Lemma 3.16 does not apply this follows directly from the mod $R-$fibre Lemma [3, Lemma 5.1] and the associated homology spectral sequence. Note that after Kurosh's subgroup Theorem the fibre has the homotopy type of a finite wedge of $1-$spheres and the reduced $\mathbb{F}_p-$homology of the fibre is isomorphic as group to a finite number of copies of a cyclic group.$\square$

Proposition 3.24 *Let p be a prime and P a finite nontrivial $p-$group. Then the classifying space of the free amalgamated product of P with the integers $B(\mathbb{Z} * P) \simeq S^1 \vee BP$ is bad for the prime p.*

Proof: There is the canonical projection map $\mathbb{Z} * P \to P$. Denote the kernel of this map Γ. It follows from Kurosh's subgroup theorem that Γ contains as a freely amalgamated factor several freely amalgamated copies of the integers. Therefore the classifying space $B\Gamma$ is weakly equivalent to a wedge product of a space X and a bouquet of at least two spheres. Therefore the classifying space $B\Gamma$ is $q-$bad for the prime p. The group P acts nilpotently on the $\mathbb{F}_p$-homology of $B\Gamma$ and therefore we can p-complete the fibration $B\Gamma \to S^1 \vee BP \to S^1 \times BP$. Therefore $S^1 \vee BP$ is p-bad since if it was p-good the space $B\Gamma$ would be p-complete which means it would be p-good which is a contradiction. $\square$

The following proposition is anologue of the Künneth theorem.

Lemma 3.25 *Let X and Y be spaces and R a solid ring such that R is a principal domain, X and Y are both R-good and $Tor(H_p(X;R), H_q(Y;R)) = 0$ for all positive integers p, q such that $p+q \geq 1$. The space $X \times Y$ is $R-$good.*

Proof: The Künneth theorem is an isomorphism in this case for the trivial $R-$module R itself. □

As a special case we obtain the following.

Proposition 3.26 *Let G be a group such that the classifying space BG is $p-$good, for example a finite group. The classifying space for a trivial $HNN-$extension is p-good.*

Proof: This is a consequence from the previous Lemma. Let S be a finite group. Then the space $(BS) \times S^1$ is p-good.□

Remark 3.27 *This means the classifying space for a Leary-Stancu model can be $p-$good for as long as it consists of a single and not an iterated $HNN-$extension.*

Remark 3.28 *The question whether the classifying space of a nontrivial single HNN-construction of a finite group is good or bad remains open. It is interesting to ask this question because if this is not always the case (as it is for a trivial HNN extension of a finite group) it would be interesting which algebraic properties of the involved morphism make the classifying space of the construction good or bad, whether this happens if the morphism is not an isomorphism or whether it depends on certain properties of the involved groups for this to happen.*

4 The impact of Sylow p-subgroups on the homotopy type

All group models involving only amalgams of finite groups have classifying spaces which are p-good.

The existence of a Sylow p-subgroup has implications on the homotopy type of a classifying space.

Proposition 4.1 *Let G be a group which is generated by elements of finite order and has a finite Sylow p-subgroup S. The classifying space BG is p-good.*

Proof: The group G is generated by S and elements of p'-order. We have G has a finite index normal subgroup generated by all elements of $p'-$order and therefore [1, Proposition 1.11] the classifying space BG is $p-$good.□

The following discussion shows that the statement of Proposition 4.1 is optimal in various ways.

Remark 4.2 *The classifying space of the group $C_p * C_p$ is $p-$bad for p odd as proved in Proposition 3.9.*

Proposition 4.3 *For any group G and the integers the classifying space of the group $G \times \mathbb{Z}$ is equivalent to the space $BG \times S^1$ which is $p-$good for any prime p as well as for the integers.*

Proof: This follows from Lemma 3.25 and the fact that the cohomology of S^1 is free over any ring coefficients respectively. □

Proposition 4.4 *The classifying space BG of an iterated HNN construction $G = \frac{S*F[t_1,t_2,\cdots]}{<t_i u t_i^{-1} = \phi_i(u)>}$ is bad for all primes and the integers.*

Proof: Taking into account that the trivial group is both an initial object and a terminal object in the category of groups we have that. The classifying space BG contains the space $S^1 \vee S^1$ as a retract as the classifying space of the double iterated HNN construction over the trivial group. The space $S^1 \vee S^1$ is bad for all primes and the integers as proved by Bousfield [2]. $\square$

Proposition 4.5 *Let p be a prime and let G_1, G_2 be groups generated by elements of finite order and with finite Sylow $p-$subgroups S_1, S_2 respectively such that $p \neq 2$ or not both G_1 and G_2 are isomorphic to C_2. Then $BG_1 \vee BG_2$ is p-good if and only if either S_1 or S_2 or both are trivial.*

Proof: As above we have $BG_1 \vee BG_2 \simeq B(G_1 * G_2)$. If p does not divide both $|S_1|$ and $|S_2|$ then Proposition 4.1 applies to show that $BG_1 \vee BG_2$ is $p-$good. In the remaining case that p divides both $|G_1|$ and $|G_2|$ it follows from Kurosh's subgroup theorem that the canonical map $G_1 * G_2 \to G_1 \times G_2 / < g_i | g_i \in G_i, i \in \{1,2\}, g_i \text{ has } p' - \text{order} >$ has kernel Γ which is isomorphic to a free product on more than one generator and free groups generated by elements of finite and $p'-$order. The quotient $G_1 \times G_2 / < g_i | g_i \in G_i, i \in \{1,2\}, g_i \text{ has } p' - \text{order} >$ is a finite $p-$group and therefore acts nilpotently on the $\mathbb{F}_p$-homology of Γ and therefore we can p-complete the fibration $B\Gamma \to BG_1 \vee BG_2 \to B(G_1 \times G_2 / < g_i | g_i \in G_i, i \in \{1,2\}, g_i \text{ has } p' - \text{order} >)$. Therefore $BG_1 \vee BG_2$ is p-bad since if it was p-good the space $B\Gamma$ would be p-complete which means it would be p-good which is a contradiction. $\square$

Proposition 4.6 *Let G be a group with a finite Sylow $p-$subgroup S. Let H be the subgroup of G generated by all elements whose order does not get divided by p. Then the classifying space BG is $p-$good if and only if the classifying space BH is $p-$good.*

Proof: Note that $H \trianglelefteq G$ and consider the fibration $BH \to BG \to B(G/H)$. Applying the mod$-R$ fibre lemma and the fact that S surjects on G/H we have that G/H acts nilpotently on the $\mathbb{F}_p-$homology of BH and therefore the map $BG \to BG^\wedge_p$ is a mod$-p$ equivalence if and only if $BH \to BH^\wedge_p$ is a mod-p equivalence and therefore BG is $p-$complete if and only if BH is $p-$complete. This implies that BG is $p-$good if and only if BH is $p-$good. $\square$

Remark 4.7 *If the fundamental group is a free group we have no a priori control about the action.*

5 Completions and localisations at different primes and $\mathbb{Z}_{(J)}$

We illustrate that spaces can be good and bad at different primes in any arbitrary combination. The special case answers a question concerning the compatibility of completions at different primes raised during the talk of Robert Oliver on $p-$local homotopy theory at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Berkeley at the beginning of the algebraic topology program in the spring 2014.

Let $\{p_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an arbitrary collection of primes. For every prime p let G_p be one of the groups as defined in Proposition 3.21. Let $\{H_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}}$ be a collection of finite $p-$groups, $p \notin \{p_i\}_{i \in I}$, and not more than one element for each $p \notin \{p_i\}_{i \in I}$ and including the possibility that H_j is trivial. Let G be any arbitrary combination of direct and free products of the groups G_{p_i} and H_j, for example $G := (\prod_{i \in I} G_{p_i}) \times (\prod_{j \in \mathcal{J}} H_j)$ or $G := (\underset{i \in I}{*} G_{p_i}) * (\underset{j \in \mathcal{J}}{*} H_j)$.

Theorem 5.1 *The classifying space BG is p_i-bad for $i \in I$ and $p-$good for all other primes p.*

Proof: In the first case we have $G := (\prod_{i \in I} G_{p_i}) \times (\prod_{j \in \mathcal{J}} H_j)$. Then for the classifying space we have $BG \simeq B((\prod_{i \in I} G_{p_i}) \times (\prod_{j \in \mathcal{J}} H_j)) \simeq \prod_{i \in I} BG_{p_i} \simeq BG_{p_i} \times (\prod_{j \in I, i \neq j} BG_{p_j}) \times (\prod_{j \in \mathcal{J}} BH_j)$ for all $i \in I$ and

BG_{p_i} is p_i- bad for all $i \in I$ [Proposition 3.9]. Lemma 3.16 implies that BG is p_i-bad for all $i \in I$. For all other primes $p \notin \{p_i\}_{i\in I}$ we have $G = (\prod_{i\in I} G_{p_i}) \times (\prod_{j\in\mathcal{J}} H_j)$ has a finite index normal subgroup generated by all elements of $p'-$order and therefore [1, Proposition 1.11] the classifying space BG is $p-$good. If we have $G := (\underset{i\in I}{*} G_{p_i}) * (\underset{j\in\mathcal{J}}{*} H_j)$ we have $BG \simeq B((\underset{i\in I}{*} G_{p_i}) * (\underset{j\in\mathcal{J}}{*} H_j)) \simeq (\bigvee_{j\in I} BG_{p_j}) \vee (\bigvee_{j\in\mathcal{J}} BH_j) \simeq BG_{p_i} \vee (\bigvee_{j\in I, i\neq j} BG_{p_j}) \vee (\bigvee_{j\in\mathcal{J}} BH_j)$. This space is p_i-bad for all $i \in I$ and $p-$good for all other primes p. The proof of the result for the classifying space of an arbitrary combination of direct and amalgamated products of the groups G_{p_i}, H_j is totally analogous. □

Remark 5.2 *For spaces with finite homology or homotopy groups the completion with respect to the integers is the product of the respective p-completions.*

Remark 5.3 *The question whether the space BG constructed above is good or bad for the integers in the arbitrary case remains open as well as the question whether a space can be good and bad for arbitrary solid rings. The results of the following section show that the methods of this article do not extend canonically to the case of arbitrary solid rings.*

Remark 5.4 *For nilpotent spaces the $p-$completion determines the $\mathbb{Z}[p^{-1}] = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}-$localisation [3].*

6 Restrictions of mod-R Fibre Lemma to $\mathbb{Z}_{(J)}$-completions

The following shows the limitations of the mod $R-$fibre lemma [3, Lemma 5.1] for proving that a space is bad in the sense of Bousfield-Kan concerning completions with respect to the integers. We believe this result is known to specialists even though there seems to be no proof in the literature.

Theorem 6.1 *There is no nontrivial nilpotent action of a finite group on a free abelian group.*

Proof: It suffices to prove the statement for a cyclic group $G = C_m$ and $m \geq 2$ because if a finite group G acts nontrivially nilpotently on a free abelian group there is at least one nontrivial element $g \in G$ such that the action of the subgroup $< g > \cong C_{ord(g)}$ is nontrivial and nilpotent. Recall that [3, §4, Lemma 4.2] for a short exact sequence of groups

$$0 \to A' \to A \to A'' \to 0 \tag{2}$$

a group G acts nilpotently on A if and only if it acts nilpotently both on A' and A''. So let A be a free abelian group of finite rank with an action of C_m. If A has rank 1 then $A \cong \mathbb{Z}$ as abelian group and, if the action is nontrivial (for $m = 2$) it is given by $t : n \mapsto -n$ where $1 \neq t \in C_2$. For the case that m is odd the action is trivial and the induction states in any case. The lower central series for this action is $\mathbb{Z} > 2\mathbb{Z} > 4\mathbb{Z} > \cdots 2^n\mathbb{Z} > \cdots$ and so does not end with 0 after a finite number of steps. So we can proceed with the induction on $r = rank(A)$ to settle the general case. We therefore suppose that the Theorem has been proved in the negative for all free abelian groups A of rank strictly less than $r > 1$ and let A be a free abelian group of rank r with an action of C_m. If C_m acts fix point freely on A then we claim that $t(a) = -a$ for all $a \in A$ where as before $1 \neq t \in C_m$. To see this we may extend the action of C_m from A to $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q[\zeta]$ in the obvious way and show that $t(x) = -x$ for all $x \in A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q[\zeta] = V$. The vector space V decomposes as C_m-module as $V^+ \oplus V^-$ where $V^+ = \{x \in V | t(x) = x\}$ and $V^- = \{x \in V | t(x) = -x\}$. Since we supposed that C_m acts fix point freely on A it follows that $V^+ = 0$ and $V^- = V$. So we are

reduced to considering the possible case that where C_m acts nontrivially and nilpotently on A with a nonzero fix point set. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to A^{C_m} \to A \to B \to 0 \tag{3}$$

of C_m-modules. The induced action on B would then be nilpotent. So suppose that $A^{C_m} \leq A$. We claim that B is torsion free. For suppose not. Then B contains nonzero torsion elements and let $0 \notin B$ be one such. Choose a lift $a \in A$ of b to A. Since $b \in B$ is torsion there is a nonzero integer λ such that $\lambda \cdot b = 0 \in B$ which translates to $\lambda \cdot a \in A^{C_m}$ in A. This says that $t(\lambda \cdot a) = \lambda \cdot a$ in A. On the other hand $t(\lambda a) = \lambda \cdot t(a)$ since t acts by group homomorphism on A. Combining these equalities gives $\lambda \cdot a = t(\lambda \cdot a) = \lambda \cdot t(a)$ and rearranging them yields $\lambda \cdot (a - t(a)) = 0 \in A$ which says that $a - t(a)$ is torsion free since $\lambda \neq 0$. But, A is torsion free since it is free abelian, so $a - t(a) = 0$. Returning to the short exact sequence we see that the nilpotent action of C_m on B must be trivial since B is free abelian and $rank(B) < rank(A)$. Suppose by way of contradiction that $rank(B) > 0$ so that the action of C_m on A is nontrivial. Then there is an element $a \in A$ such that $t(a) \neq a$. Tensoring the exact sequence with $- \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$ we obtain a short exact sequence

$$0 \to A^{C_m} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}[\zeta] \to A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}[\zeta] \to B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}[\zeta] \to 0 \tag{4}$$

where $\zeta = exp(\frac{2\pi i}{k})$ and the rational representations $A^{C_m} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$ and $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$ are trivial. Since $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$ is a splitting field for C_m this tells us that $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$ is the trivial representation so $t(a) = a \in A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$ which is the sought for contradiction. Hence in the short exact sequence we have $B = \{0\}$ so $A = A^{C_m}$ and the action of C_m on A is trivial completing an inductive proof that C_m cannot act nontrivially nilpotently on a free abelian group of arbitrary finite or infinite rank.$\square$

Remark 6.2 *The proof extends to* $\prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}[J_i^{-1}]$ *for arbitrary sets of primes* J_i *as soon as* $|G|$ *is coprime to all elements of* J_i *for all* $i \in I$ *because the representation theory in this case is semi-simple.*

Remark 6.3 *In the case of the integral homology of the fibre* F *of the fibration associated with the group extension* $F \to C_p * C_p \to C_p \times C_p$ *the modules is not rationally irreducible. It has* $p-1$ *rational summands, each of dim* $p-1$*.*

Remark 6.4 *The same module over the complexes consists of the sum of all the one dimensional characters that are nontrivial on each of the two copies of* C_p*.*

Remark 6.5 *The index set* I *is arbitrary and the result extends to abelian or nilpotent groups because of the classification theorem of abelian groups.*

7 Completions, localisations and $R-$homological structures

Every space is pro-isomorphic to a tower of nilpotent spaces [7] ruining the hope that good or bad can be detected from any homological structure. We illustrate this fact in the following discussion. In particular every bad space has the homology of a good space for any solid ring R including the Steenrod algebra structure and the Bockstein spetral sequence (in the cases of R where they exist).

It is impossible to detect from the $\mathbb{F}_p-$homology module structure whether a space is good or bad.

Proposition 7.1 *Let* X_1 *be the space* $S^1 \vee S^2$ *and* X_2 *be the space* $\mathbb{R}P^2$*. Then* X_1 *and* X_2 *have isomorphic homology as* $\mathbb{F}_p-$*modules but* X_1 *is bad for all primes and the integers and* X_2 *is good for all primes and bad for the integers.*

Proof: The $\mathbb{F}_p-$module structure is determined by $H_i(X_j;\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{F}_p$ for $i \in \{0,1,2\}$ and $j \in \{1,2\}$ and 0 otherwise. The coalgebra structure is different however. The space X_1 is bad for primes and the integers [2, Theorem 10.1] and the space X_2 is good for primes and bad for the integers [3]. $\square$

It follows from Lemma 3.16 that the wedge of any space with a bouquet of spheres is bad for all primes as soon as the bouquet consists of at least two spheres and one of them is S^1. The Steenrod algebra acts trivially on spherical classes. This motivated the conjecture that if for a space X every element in the reduced homology is either in the source or the target of a nontrivial dual Steenrod operation it might be good. This however is false. It is not possible to tell from the Steenrod algebra structure whether a space is $p-$good or $p-$bad in the sense that even if every element in the reduced homology module $\overline{H}_*(X;\mathbb{F}_2)$ is either in the source or in the target of a nontrivial dual Steenrod operation the space can be $2-$good as in the case of $\mathbb{R}P^\infty \vee \mathbb{R}P^\infty \simeq B(C_2 * C_2)$ or 2-bad as for $\mathbb{R}P^\infty \vee \mathbb{R}P^\infty \vee \mathbb{R}P^\infty \simeq B(C_2 * C_2 * C_2)$. In fact we have that it is impossible to tell from any R-homological structure at all whether a space is R-good or R-bad for any solid ring R.

References

[1] M. Aschbacher, R. Kessar, B. Oliver, *Fusion systems in algebra and topology*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series: 31, Cambridge University Press, 2011.

[2] A. K. Bousfield, *On the $p-$adic completions of nonnilpotent spaces*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Volume 331, Number 1, May 1992, 335–359.

[3] A. K. Bousfield, D. M. Kan, *Homotopy Limits, Completions and Localizations*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1972.

[4] A. K. Bousfield, D. M. Kan, *The core of a ring*, J. Pure Applied Algebra 2 (1972), 73–81.

[5] C. W. Curtis, I. Reiner, *Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1962.

[6] E. D. Farjoun, *Two Completion Towers for Generalized Homology*, Contemporary Mathematics Volume 265 (2000).

[7] E. D. Farjoun, *Pro-nilpotent representation of homology types*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Volume 38, Number 3, May 1973, 657–660.

[8] M. Hall, *The theory of groups*, Macmillan (1959).

[9] A. L. Malcev, *Nilpotent groups without torsion*, Jzv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR , Math. 13 (1949), 201–212.

[10] J. Martino, S. Priddy, *Unstable homotopy classification of $BG_p^\wedge$*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 137 (2004) 321–347.

[11] William S. Massey, *Algebraic topology: an introduction*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.

[12] D. G. Quillen, *Rational homotopy theory* , Annals of Math. 90 (1969), 205–295.

[13] D. Sullivan, *Geometric topology, part I: localization, periodicity and Galois symmetry*, MIT (1970).

Dr. Nora Seeliger Ph. D. , Mathematical Sciences Institute, The Australian National University, John Dedman Building, Building 27, Room JD2340, 2601 Acton, Canberra, ACT, AUSTRALIA. Email: nora.seeliger@anu.edu.au.